

# **Developing a Community Technology Strategic Plan**

**Louis G. Tornatzky, Ph.D.**

**Southern Technology Council**

**Battelle Memorial Institute**

**Vicksburg, MS - January 26, 2001**

# **Benefits of a Community Tech Strategy**

- **Steers K-18**
- **Essential to attracting or retaining high value-added industry**
- **Needed to prevent brain drain**
- **New Economy success linked to a higher quality of life**
- **Higher wage jobs and more disposable income**

# **Why a WRITTEN Plan?**

- **Can be upgraded**
- **Accessible**
- **Focuses and motivates action**
- **Promotes community learning**
- **Can be held up and pointed to in large meetings**

# **Ineffectual Strategic Plans**

- **Ones devoid of economic and organizational analysis**
- **Impossible dreams, puffery**
- **Without action maps**
- **Born from a non-participative process**
- **Stealth plans (e.g., invisible)**

# **Steps and Elements of a Plan**

- **An assessment of the economic “as is”**
- **S.W.O.T**
- **Vision**
- **Strategies**
- **Necessary actions**
- **Budgets and implementation**

# **Typical Substantive Foci**

- **Workforce**
- **R&D**
- **Entrepreneurial infrastructure**
- **Technology commercialization**
- **Capital**
- **Public policies**
- **Tech Infrastructure (e.g., Internet access)**

# **The Community Assessment**

- **Use secondary data to describe traditional economy, and emerging tech economy**
- **Include “non-economic” indicators (e.g., human resources)**
- **Benchmarking is informative and motivating**
- **Cluster analysis focused on tech sector**
- **Qualitative interviews an essential element**
- **Adapt TeamDelta tools**

# S.W.O.T.

- *Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats*
- Captures in a 4-6 page prose summary what is, and what is possible (or not)
- Draws from more extensive and quantitative community assessment
- Leads naturally to subsequent strategies
- A very useful instructional tool



# **Articulating Strategies**

- **A creative, judgmental process**
- **What are the 4-6 best bets for moving the community forward?**
- **Should include both long-term and shorter term strategies.**
- **Might involve exploiting a competitive strength, fixing a fatal weakness, or investing in a short-lived opportunity**

## **Strategies (cont.)**

- **Should present the analytic rationale for why this particular strategy should be pursued**
- **May describe experience of other communities who pursued a comparable strategy**
- **A strategy (e.g., making State U. super) will subsume several more specific actions**
- **Will preferably target certain traded sectors and industries**

# **Recommended Actions**

- **Should be “actionable”**
- **Should describe specific activities, events, or programs that will help to accomplish a Strategic goal**
- **Useful to describe by example - “best practices” benchmarking**
- **Will describe what objectives will be accomplished if implemented**

# **Implementation Preview for Recommended Actions**

- **Identify time frame (e.g., near term/long term)**
- **Identify lead responsible organization(s) and key partner organizations**
- **Specify resources (\$) needed, whether one-time or continuous support, and likely sources**
- **Identify milestones and products**

# **Planning Participants**

- **A lead organization should manage the planning process from start to finish - up to actual implementation**
- **Lead organization can be community-based or external paid consulting entity**
- **Planning Committee should be multi-sector in its composition, with a particular tilt toward technology-oriented organizations**

# **Planning Processes**

- **Small (4-6) steering group plus much larger number of intermittent participants (30-50)**
- **Break down planning into tasks, with different people providing task leadership**
- **Short (1 hour maximum), frequent (at least every 2 weeks) meetings, with a written agenda.**
- **Meeting discussions, decisions and “to-do” items should be captured in brief, and circulated within 24 hours**

## **Planning Processes (cont.)**

- **Interim products (e.g., draft reports) should be widely circulated among committee, and suggestions for changes addressed**
- **Major products should be exposed to public discussion and feedback**
- **Planning team should strive to have all products visibly “owned” by community power elite, public and private**

# **The Strategic Plan report**

- **Should include a concise Executive Summary**
- **Up to 100-150 pages**
- **Should include attractive, easily-understood graphics**
- **Packaged with a short press release**
- **Introduced by most politically important community leaders - public and private**



# **After the Plan: Needed Implementation Details for Each Action**

- **First annual budget, organized by expense categories**
- **Position descriptions and responsibilities**
- **Organizational structure**
- **Start-up tasks, organized by quarter, with assigned responsibilities and milestones**
- **What actually happens**

# **After the Plan: Implementation Strategy Issues**

- **Which actions should be implemented and in what order?**
- **Mix of actions: some with likely short-term impacts; others long-term payoff**
- **How do we maintain the vision and enthusiasm?**
- **When do we revisit or renew the Plan?**

# **Leadership and Implementation**

- **Plans are not self-implementing**
- **Few communities or states have successfully implemented a technology strategy without a small number of people with “fire in the belly” to lead the charge**
- **Can come from any sector or station**
- **Will likely emerge during the planning process**
- **Can be legitimated with position or title**